## A new method for the generation of stable phenoxyl radicals by the reaction of $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_2E$ (E = Ge, Sn) with sterically hindered phenols

I. S. Orlov, A. A. Moiseeva, K. P. Butin, M. P. Egorov A

aN. D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 47 Leninsky prosp., 119991 Moscow, Russian Federation.
Fax: (095) 135 5328. E-mail: mpe@ioc.ac.ru
bMoscow State University, Department of Chemistry, Leninskie Gory, 119899 Moscow, Russian Federation.
Fax: (095) 932 8846. E-mail: butin@org.chem.msu.ru

An unusual reaction of diaminogermylene and diaminostannylene with sterically hindered phenols which leads to the formation of stable phenoxyl radicals in high concentrations was found. The reaction mechanism was proposed. Amides  $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_2E$  (E = Ge, Sn) were investigated electrochemically.

**Key words:** diaminogermylene, diaminostannylene, sterically hindered phenols, deprotonation reaction, ESR.

Divalent germanium and tin amides occupy a particular place among carbene analogs. This is due to the presence of two reaction centers, viz., a two-coordinated germanium or tin atom and labile polar bonds Ge(Sn)-N, which can easily be cleaved by sufficiently acidic reagents (for example, NH- and OH- acids). Thus, depending on the structure of reagents. GeII and SnII amides can undergo reactions typical of both carbene analogs (insertion into  $\sigma$ -bonds, addition to multiple bonds, complexation reaction)1 and GeIV and SnIV amides playing a role of metallation agents<sup>2-4</sup> and leading to new germylenes (stannylenes). For the metallation reactions, stable monomeric carbene analogs of the type  $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_2E$  (E = Ge (1a), Sn (1b)) were found to be the most convenient. Particularly, reaction of amides 1 with sterically hindered phenols ArOH (2) afford stable compounds (ArO)<sub>2</sub>E (3)<sup>2</sup>.

For the first time it was found that this metallation reaction (20 °C,  $C_6H_6$ ) is accompanied by the formation of high concentrations of phenoxyl radicals ArO • (4) (Scheme 1).

The stable radicals **4** were identified by ESR spectroscopy. ESR spectra of radicals **4** are identical to those described in the literature<sup>5</sup>. The reaction of stannylene **1b** with phenols **2** leads to the formation of radicals **4** in substantially higher concentrations (up to 2 orders of magnitude) than the reaction of **2** with germylene **1a**. The formation of radicals **4** is not connected with the decomposition reaction of carbene analogs **3**, which are the main reaction products of **1** with **2**. Indeed, according to the <sup>1</sup>H NMR data carbene analogs **3** are stable in a solution at room temperature. Besides, <sup>1</sup>H NMR monitoring of the reaction of **1** with **2** did not reveal the formation of

## Scheme 1

$$E = Ge (\mathbf{1a}), Sn (\mathbf{1b}); \qquad ArO = O \longrightarrow R, \quad R = Bu^{t} (\mathbf{2a}), C(Me) = NOH (\mathbf{2b}), Me (\mathbf{2c}), CN (\mathbf{2d}), Pt(PPh_3)Cl (\mathbf{2e})$$

any intermediate compounds (for example, products of insertion reaction of 1 into H—O bond of phenols 2), whose decomposition could lead to radicals 4.

In order to estimate a possibility of the formation of radicals 4 by a redox reaction of carbene analogs 1 with phenols 2, we carried out electrochemical investigation of amides 1. It was shown that both compounds are reduced irreversibly at high cathode potentials (—2.83 (1a) and —2.45 V (1b)). Therefore, the pathway of formation of phenoxyl radicals 4 by the oxidation of phenols 2 by carbene analogs 1 can be completely excluded. Compounds 1 are oxidized irreversibly at rather low anodic potentials, 0.72 (1a) and 1.34 V (1b).

In the simultaneous electrochemical — ESR investigations (SE-ESR) of the reduction and the oxidation of compounds 1 we did not manage to observe any paramagnetic species either at room temperature or at lower temperature (200 K), which suggests the short life-times of the initial radical ions of the carbene analog 1.

Hence, the formation of phenoxyl radicals **4** is not connected with either the decomposition of stable carbene analogs **3** or other intermediates or with the redox reactions of **1** with **2**. The most likely way of the formation of radicals **4** could be hydrogen abstraction from the OH group of phenols **2** under the action of amides **1** (Scheme 1, reaction (1)), which proceeds simultaneously with the main process, *viz.*, metallation of phenols (Scheme 1, reaction (2)). Obviously, the other product of the reaction (1), namely the element-centered radical **5**, is labile and its ESR-signal cannot be observed against a background of the intense signal of stable radical **4**.

The hydrogen abstraction can occur directly or result from the fragmentation of an intermediate donor-acceptor complex between 1 and 2. It should be noted that the formal abstraction of two hydrogen atoms from cyclo-1,3-diene with the formation of benzene by tin (II) amide is documented<sup>6</sup>.

The reaction (1) is apparently specific for carbene analogs 1. Our attempts to perform it with gemylenes and stannylenes of other structures ( $GeMe_2$ ,  $GeCl_2 \cdot dioxane$ ,  $GeCl_2 \cdot PPh_3$ ,  $GeI_2$ ,  $SnCl_2$ ,  $SnCl_2 \cdot dioxane$ ,  $SnI_2$ ,  $[Sn(NEt_2)_2]_x$ ) were unsuccessful. The reaction (1) is the first example of the reaction where carbene analogs with Group 14 elements abstract hydrogen from the reagent.

## **Experimental**

All reactions and measurements were carried out in an atmosphere of dry Ar. Benzene, deuterobenzene, and THF were dried and degassed according to standard procedures<sup>7</sup>. Stable germylene 1a and stannylene 1b were synthesised according to the published procedures<sup>8</sup>. Specimens of phenols 2 were kindly provided by E. P. Milaeva.

Electrochemical investigations were carried out using a potentiostate PI-50-1.1. The working electrode was a glassy-

carbon rod (diameter 1.8 mm), background electrolyte was  $0.1\ M$  solution of  $Bu_4NClO_4$  in THF, the reference electrode was Ag/AgCl/KCl (sat.); THF was distilled over benzophenone ketyl in an atmosphere of dry Ar directly to an electrochemical cell. For SE-ESR-experiments, the electrochemical cell designed by A. B. Ilyasov and Yu. M. Kargin was used This was a Pyrex tube (diameter 5 mm) with the working electrode as a Pt helix (diameter of the Pt wire 0.3 mm), an auxiliary Pt electrode (diameter of the Pt wire 0.3 mm), and an Ag wire (diameter 0.4 mm) as a reference electrode. The cell was supplied with a vacuum valve.

The ESR and NMR spectra were recorded at 20 °C on Bruker EMX 6-1 and Bruker AM-200 instruments, respectively.

**Reaction of 1 with phenols 2.** Germylene **1a** (61 mg, 0.156 mmol) was placed in an NMR tube and 0.5 mL of dry  $C_6D_6$  was added. Phenol **2a** (35 mg, 0.134 mmol) was added in three equal portions to the solution of **1a**. After addition of the phenol, the solution assumes a green colour. Broadened signals of  $(Me_3Si)_2NH$  and phenolate **4a** appear in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture and a signal for phenoxyl radical **4a** appears in the ESR spectrum. Other reactions of amides **1** with phenols **2** were carried out similarly.

**SE-ESR investigation of 1.** Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> (136 mg) and germylene **1a** (stannylene **1b**) (20 mg) were placed into an electrochemical cell for SE-ESR in an atmosphere of dry Ar. THF (2 mL) was distilled from  $Ph_2CO/Na$  directly to the cell. The cell was degassed and evacuated. ESR spectra were recorded in the intervals of potentials from 0 to -3.0 V and from 0 to +3.0 V.

This work was financially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Project Nos. 00-15-97387, 02-03-32148), INTAS (grant 97-30344), and the Ministry of Industry, Science, and Technology of the Russian Federation.

## References

- 1. W. P. Neumann, Chem. Rev., 1991, 91, 311.
- B. Cetinkaya, I. Gumrukcu, M. F. Lappert, J. L. Atwood, R. D. Rogers, and M. J. Zawarotke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, 102, 2088.
- M. Veith, A. Rammo, S. Faber, and B. Schillo, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1999, 71, 401.
- 4. J. Barrau and G. Rima, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **178–180**, 593.
- V. V. Ershov, G. A. Nikiforov, and A. A. Volodkin, *Sterically Hindered Phenols*, Khimiya, Moscow, 1972, 351 pp (in Russian).
- 6. M. Veith and F. Tollner, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 246, 219.
- A. J. Gordon and R. A. Ford, *The Chemist's Companion*, Wiley Interscience Publication, New York—London—Sydney— Toronto, 1972.
- M. J. S. Gynane, D. H. Harris, M. F. Lappert, P. P. Power, P. Riviere, and M. Riviere-Baudet, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 1977, 2004.
- 9. A. V. Il'yasov and Y. M. Kargin, *Magn. Res. Rev.*, 1993, **16**, 135.